



Structured Epipolar Matcher for Local Feature Matching

Jiahao Chang*, Jiahuan Yu*, Tianzhu Zhang⁺

University of Science and Technology of China

* Equal contribution + Corresponding author



Project Homepage: https://sem2023.github.io

Introduction

- Motivation
- Novelty
- Evaluation
- Visualization
- Conclusion

Introduction

- Local feature matching serves as a fundamental task in many 3D vision tasks



Visual Localization







Structure from Motion (SfM)

- Introduction
- Motivation
- Novelty
- Evaluation
- Visualization
- Conclusion

Motivation

- Appearance feature is not distinguishable enough
 - Anchor points with rich texture can be easily matched with appearance feature
 - But points with **poor texture** (**A**, **B**, **C**) are similar in appearance feature
- Structured feature is ignored
 - Relative position to anchor points can help to find correct matching (A, C) instead of B





Motivation

- **Irrelevant regions** is not properly filtered:
 - Mainstream methods: all-pixel-to-all-pixel attention and matching are applied, accuracy is influenced by irrelevant regions
 - Geometric prior is ignored: according to epipolar constraint (epipolar lines marked as red), correct correspondence of D must rely in blue region, another similar point F can be filtered





- Introduction
- Motivation
- Novelty
- Evaluation
- Visualization
- Conclusion

Novelty

- A unified coarse-to-fine **iterative** architecture named Structured Epipolar Matcher (SEM) taking structured feature and geometric prior into consideration
 - Iterative Epipolar Coarse Matching: iteratively update coarse-stage feature with Structured Feature
 Extractor and Epipolar Attention/Matching
 - Structured Feature Extractor: extract structured feature and fuse into appearance feature
 - **Epipolar Attention/Matching**: apply attention/matching with epipolar constraint



Novelty -- Structured Feature Extractor

- Anchor points (x_i, y_i) : high confidence correspondences
- For each point **Q** (*x*, *y*), calculate structured feature:
 - Coordinate difference:
 - $\Delta X = (x x_1, x x_2, \dots, x x_N)$
 - $\Delta Y = (y y_1, y y_2, \dots, y y_N)$
 - Euclidean distances:
 - $D = \sqrt{(\Delta X)^2 + (\Delta Y)^2}$
- Structured feature:
 - $\mathbf{F}^{sf} = \operatorname{norm}(\Delta X) \parallel \operatorname{norm}(\Delta Y) \parallel \operatorname{norm}(D)$
 - norm for scaling invariance
 - **D** for rotational invariance
- Fusing with appearance feature **F**^{af}:
 - $\mathbf{F} = \mathrm{MLP}(\mathbf{F}^{sf} \parallel \mathbf{F}^{af})$



Novelty -- Epipolar Attention/Matching

- Take epipolar constraint into attention and matching in an iterative manner
- Relative position *R*, *T* obtained from previous matching matrix *M* by RANSAC
- Calculate epipolar lines P_0N_0 and P_1N_1 from R,T by epipolar geometry
- Broaden lines to 2s₀ width regions for error tolerance
- Attention and matching are applied between P₀ and corresponding region, features and matching matrix are updated
- Irrelevant areas are filtered



- Introduction
- Motivation
- Novelty
- Evaluation
- Visualization
- Conclusion

Evaluation

Homography Estimation (HPatches)

| Category | Method | Homography est. AUC | | | matches |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|-------|---------|
| Calegory | Method | @3px | @5px | @10px | matches |
| | D2Net [9]+NN | 23.2 | 35.9 | 53.6 | 0.2K |
| Detector-based | R2D2 [28]+NN | 50.6 | 63.9 | 76.8 | 0.5K |
| | DISK [45]+NN | 52.3 | 64.9 | 78.9 | 1.1K |
| | SP [8]+SuperGlue [32] | 53.9 | 68.3 | 81.7 | 0.6K |
| | Patch2Pix [50] | 46.4 | 59.2 | 73.1 | 1.0K |
| Detector-free | Sparse-NCNet [29] | 48.9 | 54.2 | 67.1 | 1.0K |
| | COTR [16] | 41.9 | 57.7 | 74.0 | 1.0K |
| | DRC-Net [19] | 50.6 | 56.2 | 68.3 | 1.0K |
| | LoFTR [35] | 65.9 | 75.6 | 84.6 | 1.0K |
| | PDC-Net+ [44] | 66.7 | 76.8 | 85.8 | 1.0k |
| | SEM(ours) | 69.6 | 79.0 | 87.1 | 1.0K |

Visual Localization (InLoc)

| Method | DUC1 | DUC2 | | | |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Wiethiod | $(0.25m,10^\circ)$ / $(0.5m,10^\circ)$ / $(1m,10^\circ)$ | | | | |
| LoFTR [35] | 47.5 / 72.2 / 84.8 | 54.2 / 74.8 / 85.5 | | | |
| MatchFormer [46] | 46.5 / 73.2 / 85.9 | 55.7 / 71.8 / 81.7 | | | |
| ASpanFormer [5] | 51.5 / 73.7 / 86.4 | 55.0 / 74.0 / 81.7 | | | |
| SEM(ours) | 52.0 / 74.2 / 87.4 | 50.4 / 76.3 / 83.2 | | | |

Relative Pose Estimation (MegaDepth & ScanNet)

| in egab ep in |
|---------------|
|---------------|

| Catagory | Mathod | Pose estimation AUC | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Calegory | Methou | $@5^{\circ}$ | $@10^{\circ}$ | $@20^{\circ}$ | |
| Datastar basad | SP [8]+SuperGlue [32] | 42.2 | 59.0 | 73.6 | |
| Detector-based | SP [8]+SGMNet [4] | 40.5 | 59.0 | 73.6 | |
| Detector-free | DRC-Net [19] | 27.0 | 42.9 | 58.3 | |
| | PDC-Net+(H) [44] | 43.1 | 61.9 | 76.1 | |
| | LoFTR [35] | 52.8 | 69.2 | 81.2 | |
| | MatchFormer [46] | 53.3 | 69.7 | 81.8 | |
| | QuadTree [39] | 54.6 | 70.5 | 82.2 | |
| | ASpanFormer [5] | 55.3 | 71.5 | 83.1 | |
| | SEM(ours) | 58.0 | 72.9 | 83.7 | |

ScanNet (* train on MegaDepth)

| Catagory | Method | Pose estimation AUC | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Category | Mculou | $@5^{\circ}$ | $@10^{\circ}$ | $@20^{\circ}$ | |
| | D2-Net [9]+NN | 5.3 | 14.5 | 28.0 | |
| Detector-based | SP [8]+OANet [49] | 11.8 | 26.9 | 43.9 | |
| | SP [8]+SuperGlue [32] | 16.2 | 33.8 | 51.8 | |
| | DRC-Net [19]* | | 17.9 | 30.5 | |
| | MatchFormer [46]* | 15.8 | 32.0 | 48.0 | |
| Detector-free | LoFTR-OT [35]* | 16.9 | 33.6 | 50.6 | |
| | SEM(ours)* | 18.7 | 36.6 | 52.9 | |

Evaluation

Ablation study on MegaDepth

| Index | Multi Loval | SE | SF EAM - | Pose estimation AUC | | |
|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|
| muex | wiulu-Level | 21. | | $@5^{\circ}$ | $@10^{\circ}$ | $@20^{\circ}$ |
| 1 | | | | 45.6 | 62.2 | 75.3 |
| 2 | \checkmark | | | 46.7 | 63.1 | 76.3 |
| 3 | \checkmark | \checkmark | | 47.3 | 64.3 | 76.8 |
| 4 | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | 48.1 | 64.7 | 77.4 |

SF = Structured Feature EAM = Epipolar Attention/Matching Different Epipolar Region half-width s₀

| 0 | Pose estimation AUC | | | | |
|---------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|
| s_0 - | $@5^{\circ}$ | $@10^{\circ}$ | $@20^{\circ}$ | | |
| 5 | 45.6 | 62.7 | 76.2 | | |
| 10 | 48.1 | 64.7 | 77.4 | | |
| 15 | 47.5 | 64.3 | 77.2 | | |
| 20 | 46.7 | 62.4 | 76.4 | | |

- Introduction
- Motivation
- Novelty
- Evaluation
- Visualization
- Conclusion

Visualization

Epipolar Banded Areas





















Qualitative Comparison











MatchFormer

Ours

- Introduction
- Motivation
- Novelty
- Evaluation
- Visualization
- Conclusion

Conclusion

- A novel Structured Epipolar Matcher (SEM) for local feature matching
- Two novel module:
 - Structured Feature Extractor: generating and fusing structured feature to complement the appearance features
 - **Epipolar Attention/Matching:** utilizing epipolar constraint to filter out irrelevant matching regions as much as possible
- SOTA performance in extensive experimental





Thanks!

Epipolar Matcher for Local Feature Matching

Jiahuan Yu*, Jiahao Chang*, Tianzhu Zhang†

University of Science and Technology of China

* Equal contribution + Corresponding author



Project Homepage: https://sem2023.github.io